

## CCSA Supply Chain Working Group Meeting Minutes

20<sup>th</sup> May 2025

Online Meeting. View the recording [HERE](#)

No.	Time	Item
1.	10:00	<p><b>MM – Introductions &amp; Housekeeping (see slides)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Housekeeping rules provided.</li> <li>- Agenda outlined to the group.</li> </ul>
2.	10:05	<p><b>MM - CCSA updates and new working group structures (see slides)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The CCSA has changed the way that we communicate with members – now using HubSpot as our CRM, and iCal for meeting invites.</li> <li>- <b>Action: Members to ensure that the CCSA’s domain is added to your safe sender list.</b></li> <li>- The CCSA has changed its working group structure – the Supply Chain Working Group has retained its name, structure and purpose. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o The Supply Chain Working Group will remain UK focused – with the potential to discuss EU matters.</li> <li>o <b>Action: CCSA to share Draft ToR and further details about the Supply Chain Working Group with members for their approval.</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>- Co-chairs can be selected for this group – there is one co-chair position open for this group (three co-chairs are already in post – the CCSA’s rules allow a maximum of four co-chairs for each working group)</li> <li>- DRAFT Revised Terms of Reference for the Supply Chain Working Group – outlines the mission, role and resources. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Draft ToR will be sent out to working group members for their comments</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>PH:</b> Would it be possible to get a list of members in the Supply Chain Working Group?  <b>MS:</b> In accordance with GDPR rules we can provide list of companies but not names.  <b>Action: MM to follow up with PH on lists.</b></p>
3.	10:20	<p><b>MM - Forward plan for the group and priority setting (see slides)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recap on the CCSA’s Supply Chain Work – includes work on key publications and reports, inquiry and consultation responses, and ongoing engagement with Government and other various stakeholders.</li> <li>- Government Priorities – and Local Content <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Local content is a critical consideration for Government.</li> <li>o The Government’s procurement policy looks to be under review.</li> <li>o Government’s supply chain development focus has been on Offshore Wind – announcement from GB Energy about £300 million investment into offshore wind supply chains, as well as Clean Industry Bonus increase to £544 million (focuses on offshore wind). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Although civil servants have stated that there is an ambition to move out to other critical sectors e.g., CCUS and low-carbon hydrogen – more information on this may be provided in Industrial Strategy or CSR outcomes.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>- Future work planning and priority setting – specific prospect CCSA projects that have been heavily discussed before include (but not limited to):</li> </ul>

- CCUS Industry Supply Chain Commitments: Round 2
- CCUS Supply Chain Contracting Strategies & Terms and Conditions
- CCUS Supply Chain Good News Stories
- CCUS Supply Chain Capability and Capacity Mapping

**KL:** Regarding local content, driving out costs is the current issue over local content? Local content is ambition, but how do we see that in reality?

**MM:**

- From our discussions with civil servants and the Minister, they are keen to see higher levels of local content ambitions – but costs are an inhibiting factor.
- For the first projects for CCUS the initial local content numbers are relatively high.
- Government is more receptive to changing certain practices to see a realisation of this.
- Industrial Strategy might provide further insights in terms of what Government can do in terms of enabling higher local content targets.

**MS:**

- Just to add on Government’s priorities points – the CCSA did meet with GB Energy recently. GB Energy stated they were interested in Supply Chain options across multiple technologies including CCUS – they are interested in hearing proposals around how that might work.
- The challenge will be how GB Energy get a return on investment i.e., what the structure in which this is an investment for them, and they can demonstrate that return.

**ST:**

- Government’s statement around supply chain capacity – there is contradiction in UK policy with North Sea offshore and subsea supply chains. There is CCUS and an Industrial Strategy part of Government with DBT, and then there is DESNZ (pro supply chain and building out capacity for CO<sub>2</sub> injection going forward).
- For oil and gas, the energy profits levy and various other regulations and uncertainties are causing the industry to nosedive with ramifications on jobs and supply chain capacity.
- Government is not joined up on these matters – lack of understanding holistically the exposure and risks to CO<sub>2</sub> injection plans going forward given the difficult circumstance that the offshore and subsea supply chain find themselves in currently.
- Calling on the CCSA to take a joined-up perspective in their conversations with Government in the CCUS council and so forth.
- Even though it is an oil and gas supply chain – that should not taint the CCSA’s view of representing the offshore and subsea supply chain.
- Manufacturers produce subsea infrastructure for oil and gas extraction – these same sites produce equipment for CO<sub>2</sub> injection as well.
- Can take this offline with some updated figures we have about the exposure currently.

**MM:** We engage with different Government departments on a regular basis. Is there anything you would like to the CCSA to be doing differently? Maybe a different approach that would be worthwhile exploring?

**ST:**

- We need to get all teams in the room at one time and have a joined-up conversation.
- A single (roundtable) conversation would be helpful – as the issue is teams within Government may feel that these matters are the problems for another team.
- If this could be elevated to the CCUS council at Ministerial level that would be great.
- Any ideas the CCSA have then we can discuss offline.

		<p><b>MS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We recognize the difficulties, and we try to put teams in Government in contact with each other.</li> <li>- CCUS team within DESNZ and HMT are focused on the Spending review – discussion around taxation is a step beyond that but important to be talking about.</li> <li>- Regarding CCUS council – we can raise this issue there.</li> <li>- Post spending review will be a useful time for these discussions and can try to bring teams within Government together – at that point will have a clear message from HMT on how much money is being assigned to DESNZ and this will be shared but also highlight ambitions on Industrial and Infrastructure strategies and the route to achieving these.</li> <li>- Spending Reivew will be a catalyst to bring these teams together.</li> </ul> <p><b>ST:</b> That mid-late June period would be a good time to reconnect to discuss this further.</p> <p><b>MM:</b> Regarding the future work planning and priority setting for this working group, do we think the list of potential CCSA projects for this group to undertake in the future is comprehensive?</p> <p><b>KL:</b> It is a comprehensive list in my view.</p>
4.	10:45	<p><b>MM – Final Questions &amp; AOB</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No AOB</li> <li>- Next Steps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>Action: CCSA to share Draft ToR and further details about the Supply Chain Working Group with members for their approval.</b></li> <li>○ <b>Action: Any members interested in becoming a co-chair for the Supply Chain Working Group please contact Max Musing (<a href="mailto:max.musing@ccsassociation.org">max.musing@ccsassociation.org</a>)</b></li> <li>○ <b>Action: CCSA to organise a roundtable discussion with industry stakeholders and teams within Government (i.e. DESNZ and DBT) - after the CSR period – to facilitate a discussion regarding supply chain capacities. The CCSA will also raise these issues at the CCUS Council level.</b></li> <li>○ <b>Action: Members to provide feedback on the list of potential CCSA Supply Chain Working Group projects.</b></li> <li>○ <b>Action: CCSA to draft a programme plan for future work and distribute it to the group</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>- Next Meetings Dates: TBC for 2025 (none confirmed yet, but a meeting will likely be held in June or July)</li> </ul>

## Attendees

Name	Organisation	In-person/online
Max Musing	CCSA	In-person
Mark Sommerfeld	CCSA	Online
Beth Hebditch	CCSA	Online
Harry McWilliams	CCSA	Online
Ethan Drake	CCSA	Online
Kieren Lake	Siemens Energy	In-person
Paul Herman	Victaulic	In-person

<b>Szebasztian Csernik-Tihh</b>	Uniper Energy	Online
<b>Goncalo Cunha</b>	Intera	Online
<b>Callum Barrow</b>	Equinor	Online
<b>James Fibbens</b>	Bechtel	Online
<b>Sannah Ifzal</b>	Evero Energy	Online
<b>Jonathan Marshall</b>	Navigator Terminals	Online
<b>Robert Hines</b>	Arup	Online
<b>Kathryn Cameron</b>	Scottish Enterprise	Online
<b>Mark Riley</b>	P66	Online
<b>Stuart Hanstock</b>	Progressive Energy	Online
<b>Lynne Walker</b>	Spirit Energy	Online
<b>Duncan Birtwistle</b>	Progressive Energy	Online
<b>Nicola Black</b>	Ai Exploration Ltd.	Online
<b>Stuart Testar</b>	Baker Hughes	Online
<b>Peter Thomas</b>	Storegga	Online
<b>Serge Niederkorn</b>	CarbonCaaS	Online
<b>Stuart McMillan</b>	NZIW	Online
<b>Lewis Smith</b>	AB Ports	Online
<b>Murad Elfituri</b>	Storegga	Online
<b>Praveena Nanthakumaran</b>	Alfanar	Online
<b>Aaron Wu</b>	Slaughter and May	Online